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ABSTRACT

Rationale: Covid-19 is a viral disease that has reached the entire world and has become a health concern for the population, due to the high death rates. In one year of pandemic, Pará became the 12th state in the ranking of deaths in Brazil. Objective: To analyze the profile of Covid-19 deaths in the health regions of the state of Pará. Method: This is a descriptive, retrospective research with a quantitative approach, whose data were collected from the digital platform of SESPA. The study population was all confirmed Covid-19 deaths registered in the health regions of the state of Pará. Results: 14,864 deaths were registered in the state of Pará by Covid-19, and of the 13 health regions, the one with the most deaths was Metropolitan I. In general, the most affected age group was between 60 and 80 years or more, and males had the highest death rate with 59.14%. The statistical analyses of the study showed p ≤ 0.05, thus being considered statistically significant. Conclusion: It was observed that the regional of the state capital, was the one that presented the most deaths in the period of 1 year, and men were the ones who died more by Covid-19 in the studied period.
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RESUMO

Fundamentação: A Covid-19 é uma doença viral que atingiu o mundo inteiro e se tornou uma preocupação para a saúde da população, devido aos altos índices de mortes. Em um ano de pandemia, o Pará se tornou o 12º estado no ranking de óbitos do Brasil. Objetivo: analisar o perfil dos óbitos por Covid-19 nas regionais de saúde do estado do Pará. Método: Trata-se de uma pesquisa descritiva, retrospectiva com abordagem quantitativa, cujos dados foram coletados da plataforma digital da SESPA. A população do estudo constitui-se por todos os óbitos confirmados da Covid-19 e que estejam registrados nas regionais de saúde do estado do Pará. Resultados: Foram registrados no estado do Pará 14.864 óbitos pela Covid-19, sendo das 13 Regionais de saúde, a que teve maior número de óbitos foi a Metropolitan I. No geral, a faixa etária mais acometida foi entre 60 a 80 anos ou mais, sendo o sexo masculino o que teve maior índice de morte com 59,14%. As análises estatísticas do trabalho mostraram-se com p ≤ 0,05 assim, sendo considerados estaticisticamente significativos. Conclusão: Observou-se que a regional da capital do estado, foi a que mais apresentou óbitos no período de 1 ano, e os homens foram os que mais morreram pela Covid-19 no período estudado.

Palavras-chave: Vigilância em Saúde Pública; Infecções por Coronavírus; Síndrome Respiratória Aguda Grave; Infectologia.
INTRODUCTION

Since the end of 2019, the world has been living in an emerging situation caused by a virus with rapid temporal and geographical spread known as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which characterized a COVID-19 pandemic. However, this event is not something new in world history; in previous years there were similar situations, as happened during outbreaks of the Black Death, syphilis, smallpox, and tuberculosis, among others, when thousands of people lost their lives to lethal infectious diseases (1).

The new coronavirus comes from a family of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) that affects the respiratory system, causing flu-like symptoms that can quickly worsen and even lead to death (2). This new betacoronavirus emerged in China, in Wuhan in December 2019, through the ingestion of a contaminated bat, which is the natural host of this virus, however, there is no record of when exactly this virus had contact with humans (3,4).

SARS-CoV-2 was brought to Brazil by people with high purchasing power and due to its high transmissibility, it spread quickly, thus causing a continuous outbreak of COVID-19 and representing an extraordinary threat to public health (5). Isolating symptomatic cases and tracking contacts have been the most effective way to reduce the spread of the virus and control outbreaks (6), in addition to the main preventive health care, such as hand hygiene habits and the use of a surgical mask as personal protective equipment (7).

Some factors enable the high transmission rate of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, one of which is the form of contagion, as it is a virus that infects the airways, and transmission is through saliva, sneezes, and coughs of infected people (8). Another way is the fact that some people are asymptomatic. These have a high rate of virus spread in a community environment, and these people who have had contact can develop flu-like symptoms and progress to a severe form of the disease (8).

The most common symptoms of COVID-19 patients are nonspecific and mainly include fever, cough, and myalgia (9). Others that occur frequently are flu-like syndromes such as sore throat, vomiting, diarrhea, chills, conjunctival congestion, and headaches (10). However, symptoms such as ageusia and anosmia have become quite common in patients with COVID-19, which are chemical changes that cause the person to lose the taste and smell of food and perfumes, and sometimes, these are the only symptoms reported by some affected people (12).

In around 80% of infected patients, the disease is mild and restricted to the conductive and upper airways. These individuals can be monitored at home and treated with medications to relieve symptoms (13). However, 20% of infected patients will present pulmonary infiltrates, which may progress to more serious forms of the disease. When SARS-CoV-2 enters the lungs, it causes damage through the stimulation of inflammatory processes that seriously damage the alveoli, disabling them from carrying out gas exchange correctly, leading to the development of Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) (14).

In the epidemiological bulletin issued by the Ministry of Health (MS), it is stated that among SARS deaths in Brazil, COVID-19 dominates with a rate of 89.4%, with the most affected being males, those of white race, and the prevalence of age between 70 and 79 years. Heart disease and diabetes were the most common comorbidities also found among people who died from COVID-19 in Brazil, over the period of one year (11-04-2020 to 17-04-2021) (15).

OBJECTIVE

From the first registered case of a person infected with COVID-19 in the state of Pará (March 18, 2020) until April 2021, more than 10,000 deaths from the new coronavirus have been recorded in the state of Pará, making the state occupy the 12th place in the Brazilian ranking of deaths from COVID-19 (16-17). Therefore, this study aims to analyze the profile of deaths from COVID-19 in the health departments of regions of the state of Pará.

METHODOLOGY

This is a descriptive, retrospective research with a quantitative approach. The descriptive study is widely used to characterize semio- logical, etiological, pathophysiological, and epidemiological events of a disease or events, studying their distribution in time and space, according to their particularities. Therefore, a retrospective descriptive study makes a temporal analysis of a disease or event from a certain point in history to the present. The quantitative approach aims to collect and analyze data on quantitative variables, thus allowing the deep nature of a reality to be identified (18,19).

The state of Pará has 144 municipalities, with a total area of 1,245,870.707 km², with an estimated population of 8,690,745, making it the second largest in Brazil due to its territorial extension, with the main source of income being the agricultural sector and mineral extraction (20). These municipalities are subdivided into thirteen Regional Health Centers (CRS), which are in different municipalities, and distributed logistically. These are districts that form the main means of health care, which are managed by the State Health Department (21).

Each Regional Health Center has its headquarters and its regulatory system, which aims to decentralize services and break down geographical barriers to obtain qualified care for users of the Unified Health System (SUS). According to the Public Health
Secretariat (SESPA), the regions are located in Araguaia, Baixo Amazonas, Carajás, Lago de Tucurui, Metropolitana I, Metropolitana II, Metropolitana III, Rio Caetés, Tapajós, Tocantins, Xingu, Marajó I and Marajó II (21).

The study population is all cases of confirmed deaths from COVID-19 that are registered in the CRS, using specific and secondary data collected through the SESPA database (https://www.covid-19.pa.gov.br/#/). Thus, deaths confirmed by Covid-19 whose data were specified on the official platform are included, and those whose data were incomplete, or died due to complications from other pathologies, even if they had a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19, were excluded.

The variables analyzed were: gender (female/male), age group (in years), number of deaths per Regional Health Center, and number of deaths per month, in addition to evaluating confirmation and death rates according to each test used. To this end, it was decided to limit the time for sample collection, including cases notified until June 10, 2021, so that it was possible to analyze the profile of deaths due to COVID-19.

To analyze the distribution of data, the Shapiro-Wilk normality test was performed to identify whether the population has a normal distribution. The results were analyzed using the Student’s T-Test for normal distribution, and the Chi-square test for those with non-normal distribution. The descriptive statistics test was performed using absolute and percentage distributions using the BioStat 5.0 software, and Microsoft Excel 2010, to create the database, tables, and graphs. This methodology has the basic objective of synthesizing a series of values of the same nature, allowing a global view of the variation in values, and organizing and describing the data.

The research serves to provide knowledge to the population of the state of Pará about the lethality of a virus that is still being studied, and how it spreads through the state’s Regional Health Center, thus, being able to serve as a basis for implementing public COVID-19 prevention programs in Pará, in addition to contributing to national literature.

Following Resolution No. 466 of December 12, 2012, the risks and discomforts of this study are null as it is research that uses secondary data from a public access platform, and does not need to be evaluated by the Research Ethics Committee.

**RESULTS**

During the period from March 2020 to the beginning of June 2021, 14,864 deaths confirmed by COVID-19 were registered in the state of Pará, aged 0 to 80 years or more. The state is divided into 13 Regional Health Centers, among them Metropolitana I presented the highest death rate with 39.54% (5,877) deaths, followed by Baixo Amazonas with 15.78% (2,346) deaths, and Carajás with 11.73% (1,744) deaths (Figure 1).

It was observed that the Regional Health Center with the highest percentage of deaths also had the highest mortality rate, with 4.47%, Metropolitana I. Thus, the regions with the lowest mortality rates were: Araguaia with 1.11% and Marajó I with 1.26%, however, Marajó I and II presented lethality rates higher than the percentage of deaths, with 1.62% lethality for 1.10% of deaths, and 2.29% lethality for 1.89% of deaths, as represented in Figure 1.

A p-value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. Graph represented as a percentage. % = percentage. Data extracted from SESPA.

In the analysis of deaths by gender, there was a prevalence of males with 59.14% (8,792) of deaths compared to females, who had a lower rate of 40.86% (6,072) of deaths. When correlated to age groups, we can note that the age group with the highest number of deaths was 60 to 79 years old in males with 29.85% (4,438) of deaths, while females accounted for 20.36% (3,027) of deaths in the same age group, and the least affected age was 0 to 19 years in both genders, with females accounting for a total of 0.36% (55) of deaths, compared to males at the same age with 0.49% (73) of deaths (Table 1).

Between the period from March 18, 2020 to June 10, 2021, 530,248 cases of Covid-19 were confirmed, with the rapid test being the most used for this confirmation, which detected 68.07% (36,0892) of confirmed cases, of which 36.01% (5,352) died, but the most used test to detect death was the Reverse Transcriptase Reaction test followed by Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR), which recorded 53.97% (8,023) deaths (Figure 2).
**Table 1.** Distribution of deaths from Covid-19, separated by age and gender of all health regions in the state of Pará between the period from March 18, 2020, to June 10, 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Number of deaths in men</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Number of deaths in women</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 19</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 39</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 49</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 59</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>5.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 69</td>
<td>2135</td>
<td>14.36</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>9.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 to 79</td>
<td>2303</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>1562</td>
<td>10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 ou mais</td>
<td>1762</td>
<td>11.85</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>9.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Table represented in percentage. No. = numbers, % = percentage. Data extracted from SESPA.

**Figure 2.** Distribution of the number of confirmed cases and confirmed postmortem deaths and types of tests performed for diagnosis between the period from March 18, 2020, to June 10, 2021.

A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Graph represented as a percentage. RT-PCR = Reverse Transcriptase Reaction followed by Polymerase Chain Reaction, % = percentage. Data extracted from SESPA.

**Figure 3.** Distribution in months of deaths from COVID-19 during the period from March 18, 2020, to June 10, 2021, in the state of Pará.

A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Graph represented in whole numbers. Data extracted from SESPA.

When analyzing the state of Pará, some cities stood out in terms of a number of deaths, such as Belém (4828 deaths), Santarém (891 deaths), Ananindeua (795 deaths), and Parauapebas (408 deaths). Belém and Ananindeua are municipalities located within the Metropolitan Health Region I, Santarém in the Baixo Amazonas Regional Health Center, Marabá and Parauapebas in the Carajás Regional Health Center. And these municipalities were able to be listed in a ranking of cities with the highest number of deaths when compared to other cities in the state.

**DISCUSSION**

COVID-19 is the viral disease that has killed the most people in the last millennium, and its behavior varies according to each region of the world, with the American continent being the most affected, containing the highest number of deaths, totaling 1,258,134 cases, but why this contamination behaves differently on each continent has not been discovered yet(22,23). In America, Brazil together with the United States is responsible for more than 796,151 deaths, being the most affected by COVID-19, and Brazil alone recorded 272,899 deaths in 1 year, being the second country in the ranking with the highest number of deaths (23). The state of Pará recorded 14,864 deaths from COVID-19 in 1 year and 3 months, being considered the 12th state with the highest death rate in the country during the pandemic. Among the states in the North region, Pará followed by Amazonas and Rondônia were the states that presented the highest number of deaths from respiratory causes, with 19,297, 7,655, and 6,021, respectively(24).

Amazonas is the state in the North region with the greatest severity in terms of average respiratory deaths, where deaths from COVID-19 from the 1st to the 15th April of 2020 exceeded the
respiratory mortality expected for the first 15 days of the month. Previous years have shown that the seasonal period with the highest rate of deaths from respiratory diseases is in July, and in 2020 the annual respiratory mortality peaks were later\(^2\). The months of July are always marked by travel, crowds, a lot of heat, and ending with the first rains, with no difference from the pandemic year, where many people traveled to closed and reserved places, but still had contact with unknown people\(^2\). These states have international airports and bus stations that allow access to other regions, both national and international, and the possibility of greater intensification and spread of the virus may arise\(^2\). In the Northern region of Brazil, the speed at which the virus spread covered a large part in a short time, as the states are marked by extensive areas of clusters with high rates of confirmed cases. Therefore, confirmed death cases increased proportionally\(^2\).

Health policies, aiming to improve the integration of health services, the distribution of resources, and the expansion of access, developed the proposal to divide the states into Regional Health Centers, and thus, it was sought through actions aimed at their structuring and operation\(^2\). Therefore, the state of Pará has 13 health regions distributed throughout the state, namely: Metropolitan I, II and III, Rio Gaetés, Lago do Tucuruí, Tapajós, Marajó I e II, Baixo Amazonas, Xingú, Araguaia, Carajás and Tocantins\(^2\).

In the state of Paraná, a study performed among the 22 Regional Health Centers showed that in absolute numbers, the region presenting the most deaths from COVID-19 was the Metropolitan Regional Health Center (2nd Regional Health Center), as was found in Pará where it was observed a total of 39.54% of deaths in Metropolitan Regional Health Center I, but this could be due to them being the most populous regions in their respective states. Since the first wave, these states have implemented non-pharmacological prevention measures, however, they were not enough to contain the damage caused to society\(^3\).

Studies performed in Fortaleza, Recife, São Paulo, Manaus, and other large capitals in Brazil, observed that the age group most affected by Covid-19 was between 40 and 59 years old in both genders\(^3\). They also show that the number of deaths in males has a slightly earlier age range, being between 40 and 79 years old, diverging from that found in Pará, which is between 60 and 79 years old. In females, the age group presented as the highlight in the frequency of deaths in the country’s capitals was from 40 to 79 years old, which also differs from what was found in Pará, where the highest frequency was after the age of 79\(^3\). The mortality rate is higher in men than in women, as seen in different parts of the country, as found in the present study. The association of death with gender and age may also be linked to comorbidities due to the aging of the population and poor lifestyle habits, which can quickly worsen the situation\(^3,34,35,36\).

It has already been proven that men have more vices than women, especially smoking, as people who smoke tend to become more easily infected with COVID-19 due to the habit of holding their hands over their mouths due to cigarettes, in addition to smoking also causes low antibodies, making these people more susceptible to infectious diseases\(^3\). Passive smokers should also be observed, as they are affected due to living with people who smoke and suffer from the effects and complications of respiratory diseases\(^3\).

The confirmed cases of COVID-19 who died were diagnosed through a rapid laboratory test, and RT-PCR, the majority of diagnoses registered in the SESPA database, were through a rapid test, due to its quick and cheap way of reproduction, being small, portable, and easy to interpret. Although it cannot measure the amount of antibodies, it detects exposure from the 8th day onwards and identifies asymptomatic/symptomatic patients, and those who have eliminated viruses, with a sensitivity of 80% in the acute phase and may reduce effectiveness according to the disease, reaching a sensitivity of 30.2%\(^39,40\). Soon after, the high-cost RT-PCR swab test, at the beginning of the pandemic, was only performed in private laboratories, despite its high efficiency (>95%), this test detects the virus from the 3rd to the 5th day in asymptomatic/symptomatic patients\(^41\). Only after a few months of the pandemic, the state can make the RT-PCR test available through the public health network. The Epidemiological Link Variable found in data collection was not considered by other researchers, or mentioned in other studies. In Pará, the links of individuals who tested positive for Covid-19 were considered and tested, for safety and case control.

Brazil suffered from two epidemiological waves within a year of the pandemic, the first wave being between April and August 2020 with its peak in June, due to the delay in delivering test results for Covid-19, as at first the diagnosis was made through symptoms, and only a few months later specific tests came along. The second wave from December 2020 to February 2021, reaching its maximum peak in January of that year, was caused by non-compliance with social distancing rules\(^5,42\). In Pará, the waves were smaller from April to June, peaking in May 2020, and in an attempt to soften the infection curve, the lockdown was instituted, which limited the movement of people in public places in Metropolitan Regional Health Center I, mainly in the capital. The second wave occurred from April to May 2021, maintaining the peak in April, attributing this increase to the lack of basic inputs to contain the virus among the population, and the failure to use a mask. Similar data could be observed in the Distrito Federal, which presented its peaks in deaths between March and May 2020 after the decision to place the city in lockdown was revoked, and stores, restaurants, and bars returned to operation, even with care, however, it was not enough\(^42,43\).
As the introduction of SARS-CoV 2 began in the capitals and then spread to interior cities, the country’s large cities had to take action to prevent deaths. Thus, São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro, Manaus, Fortaleza, Recife, Curitiba, Cuiabá, and Belém - the ones presented in the study - due to the high rate of deaths from respiratory diseases, developed restrictive measures to avoid the large circulation of people and restrict the transport of virus by people without symptoms to other regions of the state or even the country (5,32,36,43).

**CONCLUSION**

With the health system divided by regions, it was noticed that the region of the great capital, the Metropolitana Regional Health Center I, had a higher rate of deaths among Pará citizens aged between 60 and 80 years and beyond, in addition to affecting more men than women. The behavior of COVID-19 is not seasonal like that of Influenza, which affects the population more in July, being later in terms of deaths and affecting the population at any time of the year, during which there were two epidemiological waves.

The database used during the research allowed us to collect data, however, one of the limitations of the study is the lack of more specific epidemiological data released by SESPA such as deaths by race, and comorbidities, in addition to the difficulty in finding regional data. More studies on the Regional Health Center are necessary so that future comparisons can be made and so that strategies to combat COVID-19 are more effective.
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